

## **Teaching and learning implications on group experiments and teacher demonstrations to teaching of process skills in biology: A case of two Namibian secondary schools**

Hedwig U. Kandjeo-Marenga  
Department of Mathematics, Science, and Sport Education,  
University of Namibia

### ***References***

Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2005). Developing deeper understanding of the nature of science: the impact of a philosophy of science course on pre-service teachers' views of instructional planning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(1), 15 – 42.

Beeth, M. E. (1998). Facilitating conceptual change learning: the need for teachers to support metacognition. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 9(1), 49 – 61.

Bennett, J. (2003). *Teaching and Learning science: a guide to recent research and its applications*. London: Continuum.

Bennett, J. and Kennedy, D. (2001). Practical work at the upper high school level: the evaluation of a new model of assessment. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(1):97–110.

Bless, C. and Higson-Smith, C. (2000). *Fundamentals of social research methods: An african perspective*. 3rd edition. Lansdowne: Juta Education (Pty) Ltd., 176 pp

Boz, Y. and Uzuntiryaki, E. (2006). Turkish prospective chemistry teachers' beliefs about chemistry teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(14), 1647 – 1667.

Brooks, J. G. and Brooks, M. G. (1993). *In search of understanding: the case for constructivist Classrooms*. Alexandria, Va: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development Press, 143 pp.

Chin, C. (2006). Classroom interaction in science: teacher questioning and feedback to students' responses. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(11), 1315 – 1346.

Cohen, L., Manion, L., and Morrison, K. (2000). *Research Methods in Education*. 5th ed. London: Routledge, 464 pp.

Creswell, J. W. (2006). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Traditions*. 2nd Edition. Thousand Oaks. SAGE Publications, 416 pp.

Denzin, N.K. and Lincoln, Y.S. (2000). **Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research**. In N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.) *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 2nd Edition. London: Sage Publications, pp. 1–29.

DOE (Department of Education). (2002). *National Curriculum Statement. Grades R–9 (Schools)*. Pretoria: Department of Education.

Duit, R. and Treagust, D. F. (1998). Learning in science- from behaviourism towards social constructivism and beyond. In B. J. Fraser and K. J. Tobin (Eds.), *International Handbook of Science Education*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 3–25).

Erduran, S. (2006). Fuming with reasons: towards research-based professional development to support the teaching and learning of argumentation in science. In E. Gaigher, L. Goosen & R. de Villiers (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (SAARMSTE)* (pp. 14 – 33). Pretoria: University of Pretoria.

Frost, J. (2005). Planning for learning and teaching science. In J. Frost and T. Turner (Eds.), *Learning to teach science in the secondary school: a comparison to school experience* (pp. 91 – 175). 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. London: Routledge Falmer.

Gott, R. and Duggan, S. (1996). *Practical work: its role in the understanding of evidence in science*. *International Journal of Science Education*, **32**(2):183–201.

Harlen, W. (1999). *Effective teaching of science: a review of research* (Chapter 2). Great Britain: The Scottish Council for Research in Education.

Hodson, D. (1996). Practical work in schools science: exploring some directions for change. *International Journal of Science Education*, **18**(7):755–760.

Hodson, D. and Hodson, J. (1998a). From constructivism to social constructivism: a Vygotskian perspective on teaching and learning science. *School Science Review*, **79**(289), 33 – 41.

Hodson, D. and Hodson, J. (1998b). Science education as enculturation: some implications for practice. *School Science Review*, **80**(290):17–24.

Hofstein, A., Novon, O., Kipmis, M. and Mamlok\_Naaman, R. (2005). Developing students' ability to ask more and better questions resulting from inquiry-type chemistry laboratory. *International Journal of Science Education*, **42**(7), 791 – 806.

Jones, C. (2000). The role of language in the learning and teaching of science. In M. Monk and J. Osborne (Eds.), *Good practice in science teaching: what research has to say* (pp. 88 – 103). Buckingham: Open University Press.

Martinez-Losada, C. and Garcia-Barros, S. (2005). Do Spanish secondary teachers really value different sorts of procedural skills? *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(7), 828 – 854).

McMillan, J. H. and Schumacher, S. (1997). *Research in Education: A conceptual introduction*. 4th Edition. New York: Longman, 684 pp.

McNeil, K. and Krajcik, J. (2008). Scientific explanations: characterizing and evaluating the effects of teachers' instructional practices on students learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 45(1), 53 – 78.

Millar, R., Le Marechal, J. F., and Tiberghien, A. (1999). “**Mapping**” the domain-varieties of practical work. In J. Leach and A. Paulsen (Eds.), *Practical Work in Science Education: Recent Research Studies*. Denmark: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Roskilde University Press, pp. 33–59.

Morge, L. (2005). Teacher-pupil interaction: a study of hidden beliefs in conclusion phase. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(8), 935 – 956.

Mortimer, E. and Scott, P. (2000). **Analysing discourse in the science classroom**. In: R. Millar, J. Leach and J. Osborne (Eds.). *Improving Science Education: The Contribution of Research*. Buckingham: Open University Press, pp. 126–142.

Mortimer, E. and Scott, P.H. (2003). *Meaning Making in Secondary Science Classrooms*. Philadelphia: Open University Press, 160 pp.

Nakhleh, M. B., Polles, J. and Malina, E. (2002). Learning chemistry in a laboratory environment. In J. K. Gilbert et al. (Eds.), *Chemical Education: towards research-based practice* (pp. 69 – 94). Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Ogborn, J., Fress, G., Martins, I. and McGillicuddy, K. (1996). *Explaining science in the classroom* (pp. 77 – 95). Buckingham: Open University Press.

Ogunniyi, M.B. and Mikalsen, O. (2004). Ideas and process skills used by South African and Norwegian students to perform cognitive tasks on acids, bases and magnetism. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 8(2), 151 – 164.

Ogunniyi, M. (2006). Effects of discursive course on two science teachers' perceptions of the nature of science. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 10(1), 93 – 102.

Oh, P. S. (2005). Discursive roles of the teacher during class sessions for students presenting their science investigations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(15), 1825 – 1851.

Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. 2nd ed. Newbury Park: Sage Publications, 532 pp.

Pella, M. O. (1969). The laboratory and science teaching. In H. O. Anderson (Ed.), *Reading in Science Education for the Secondary School*. London: Macmillan Company.

Ramorogo, G.J. (1998). *Effects of exemplary teaching and learning materials on students' performance in Biology*. Unpublished doctoral thesis. Cape Town: University of the Western Cape.

Richardson, V. (1997). Constructivist teaching and teacher education: theory and practice. In V. Richardson (Ed.) *Constructivist Teacher Education building a world of new understanding* (pp. 1 – 3). London: The Farmer Press.

Roberts, R. and Gott, R. (2000). Procedural understanding in biology: how is it characterised in text? *School Science Review*, 82(298):83–91.

Roth, W. M. (1995). *Authentic school science: knowing and learning in open-inquiry science laboratory*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Solomon, J. (1994a). Group discussions in the classroom. In R. Levinson (Ed.), *Teaching Science* (pp. 76 – 84). London: Routledge.

Solomon, J. (1994b). Group discussions in the classroom. In R. Levinson (Ed.), *Teaching Science* (pp. 7 – 21). London: Routledge.

Stake, R. E. (2000). Case study. In: N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 2nd ed. London: Sage Publications, pp. 435–454.

Stake, R. E. (2000). Case study. In N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. London: Sage Publication.

Staver, J. R. (1998). Constructivism: sound theory for explicating the practice of science and science teaching. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 35(5), 501 – 520.

Trumbull, D. J., Scarano, G. and Bonney, R. (2006). Relations among two teachers' practices and beliefs, conceptualizations of the nature of science, and their implementation of students' independent inquiry projects. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(14), 1717 – 1750.

Wellington, J. (2000). *Teaching and learning secondary science: contemporary issues and practical approaches* (Chapters 7 and 8). London: Routledge.

White, L. (2003). Process skills: Are teachers equipped and ready to implement? In: B. Putsoa, M. Dlamini and V. Kelly (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (SAARMSTE)*. Mbabane: Waterford Kamhlaba, pp. 763–768.

Windschitl, M. (1999). A vision educators can put into practice: portraying the constructivist classroom as a cultural system. *School Science and Mathematics*, 99(4):89–196.

Wu, H. K. and Hsieh, C. E. (2006). Developing sixth graders' inquiry skills to construct explanations in inquiry-based learning environments. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(11), 1289 – 1313.

Zady, M. F., Portes, P. R. and Ochs, V. D. (2002). Examining classroom interactions related to difference in students' science achievement. *Science Education*, 87(1), 40 – 63.